UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,115	,115 09/08/2003 Gabrielle Jeans		056197-0003	7063
Miller Thomson	7590 11/24/200 a LLP	EXAMINER		
Suite 2500	4 W/ 4	CUMARASEGARAN, VERN		
20 Queen Street Toronto, ON M		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA		3629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/656	5,115	JEANS, GABRIEI	JEANS, GABRIELLE			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		VERN	CUMARASEGARAN	3629				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on	the cover sheet with the	e correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no cation. bry period will apply an by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be divided will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this on NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>14 July 200</i> 9						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
′=	Since this application is in condition for			prosecution as to the	e merits is			
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	6) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or electio	n requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen			_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	0.49)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO: nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	- 94 0)		Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pugliese (US 2002/0072974 A1) in view of Brown (US 2002/0156895).

As to claims 1, 13, 24-26, 27 Pugliese shows

a) signing up one or more sales agent users for access to a server application executed on a web server, the web server being connected to the Internet

{paragraph 193 where in order for the ShopLive system to have a sign-in process, shopper or merchant must have signed on the server application ("ShopLive"). Paragraph 130 inherently shows the web server being connected to the internet because in order to provide "merchant webpages" the server has to be connected to the internet};

- b) customizing, publishing and updating a web site promoting goods or services using the server application (paragraph 409), based on application of a plurality of web design selection tools to a plurality of web templates provided by the server application, whereby:
 - i) the web site includes a public area and an administration area (Fig.20);
 - ii) the server application enables the one or more sales agent users to

Application/Control Number: 10/656,115

Art Unit: 3629

design web sites dynamically having function aspects built into the web sites that are linked to the sales generation process (paragraph 378);

Page 3

iii) the plurality of web selection tools and plurality of web templates are updated regularly by an operator of the server application to incorporate one or more feature aspects and one or more function aspects linked to the sales generation process whereby the plurality of web selection tools and plurality of web templates are current with best practices of sales generation (paragraph 386); and

iv) the web sites of each sales agent user are presented to a user as: unrelated to other web sites built by other sales agent users using the server application; as related to one or more web sites of individual sales agent users, said one or more web sites being built using the server application; or as a network of web sites of individual sales agent users being built using the server application and said network of web sites including a master web site (paragraph 393 where merchant directory is made available);

- d) creating customized sales tools being one or more sales generation related documents or one or more sales generation related communications by means of a sales tool facility provided by the server application, whereby the sales tools are customizable to promote sales based on a plurality of sales tool templates provided by the sales tool facility (paragraph 393); and
- e) communicating the sales tools to one or more selected customers or potential customers via the server application (paragraph 403).

Brown shows

Application/Control Number: 10/656,115

Art Unit: 3629

c) collecting contact data for customers and potential customers via the web site, collecting contact data from a remote computer of one or more sales agents, or collecting contact data through client input via a user interface (paragraph 33), whereby:

Page 4

- i) the contact data collected via the web site and the contact data collected from the one or more sales agents is stored to a common database linked to the server application (paragraph 7); and
- ii) the server application enables the one or more sales agent users to control access to their contact data stored in the database whereby the contact data of one sales agent user being accessible by multiple sales agent users, such access being granted by the one sales agent user (paragraph 8); and
- iii) the contact data stored in the database being updatable on an ongoing basis (paragraph 7 "update...contact information").

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the method of Brown since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

As to claim 2, Pugliese et al show sending customized sales communications ("gift registry") via the administration area, by operation of the sales tool facility, whereby the customized communications are based on a plurality of customizable communication templates provided by the server application, and customized by the one or more sales agent users (paragraph 214).

Application/Control Number: 10/656,115

Art Unit: 3629

As to claim 3, Pugliese et al show one or more sales agent users initiating the creation of a client service report by operation of the sales tool facility, whereby the client service report lists a plurality of sales activities undertaken by one or more of the sales agent users in relation to one or more customers or potential customers selected by the one or more sales agent users (paragraph 139).

Page 5

As to claim 4, Pugliese et al show the web templates and communication templates being updated by the operator of the web server to incorporate best practices for appealing to the target audience of the sales agent users' sales activities (paragraph 324).

As to claims 5 and 17, Pugliese et al show the server application enabling the one or more sales agent users to design web sites dynamically by selecting in the administration area a plurality of design features corresponding to a particular web site design. However, Pugliese et al do not expressly show previewing the website design by means of a preview feature accessible via the administration area. It is notoriously old and well known in the art at the time of the invention to allow the preview of a website design before it is published on the website. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Pugliese et al and allow the preview of website design.

As to claims 6 and 7, Pugliese et al show the server application and the database enabling the one or more sales agent users to create a customer or potential customer list (paragraph 216).

As to claim 8, Pugliese et al show one or more sales agent users entering calendar information to a calendar facility provided by the server application, such that customized sales communications are delivered by the web server based on the calendar information (paragraph 215).

As to claims 9 and 21, Pugliese et al show sales tool facility and calendar facility cooperating to provide the client service report that lists the activities of one or more of the sales agent users by reference to the calendar data entered to the calendar facility (paragraph 386).

As to claim 10, Pugliese et al show one or more sales agent users offering incentives to customers and potential customers to provide their contact data to the customized web site by operation of the customized web site (paragraphs 41-42).

As to claims 11 and 22, Pugliese et al show users sending customized e-cards using the e-card (electronic messages) facility included in the sales tool facility, whereby the e-card facility enables the one or more sales agent users to select between a plurality of e-card templates designed to appeal to the target audience of the one or more sales agent users' sales activities (paragraph 103).

As to claims 12 and 23, Pugliese et al show of promoting the customized web site on the Internet by initiating the server application to establish search engine positioning of the customized web site (paragraph 155).

As to claim 14, Pugliese et al show sales tool facility and email facility cooperating to enable the plurality of sales agent users to send customized sales communications whereby customized communications are based on a plurality of

Art Unit: 3629

customizable communication templates provided by the sales tool facility (paragraph 219).

As to claim 15, Pugliese et al show sales tool facility enabling the plurality of sales agent users to create a client service report that lists a plurality of sales activities undertaken by one or more of the plurality of sales agent users in relation to one or more customers or potential customers selected by the one or more of the plurality of sales agent users (paragraph 139).

As to claim 16, Pugliese et al show that web templates and communication templates are updated by the operator of the server computer to incorporate best practices for appealing to the target audience of the plurality of sales agent users' sales activities (paragraph 324).

As to claim 17, Pugliese et al show web publication facility enabling the plurality of sales agent users to design web sites dynamically by selecting a plurality of design features corresponding to a particular web site design, and then previewing the web site design by means of a preview feature provided by the web publication facility.

As to claim 18, Pugliese et al show database management utility enabling the plurality of sales agent users to create a customer list (paragraph 216).

As to claim 19, Pugliese et al show plurality of sales agent users building the customer list by entering data into a form provided by the server application (paragraph 216).

As to claim 20, Pugliese et al show the server application further including a calendar facility that enables the plurality of sales agent users to add calendar data, and the calendar facility, sales tool facility and email facility cooperate such that customized sales communications are delivered by the server computer based on the calendar information (paragraph 215).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 14, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments regarding each part of claim 1 are addressed below:

Claim 1(b)

Contrary to applicant's assertion, Pugliese shows customizing, publishing and updating a web site promoting goods in paragraph 403 where the Live Browser streams customized content to each shopper via a custom live browser.

- (i) Pugliese shows an administration area (Fig.20) and a public area (Fig.13) in the web site.
- (ii) Pugliese shows the server application enabling the one or more sales agent users to design web sites dynamically having function aspects built into the web sites that are linked to the sales generation process in paragraph 17 where merchants are able to design web sites to sell products.
- (iii) Daily personal notification feature to alert shoppers of sale items is considered to be daily update of a web template where the web template and web selection tool are considered to be the personal notification processing function and PNQ Queue function

respectively. Therefore, Pugliese discloses the feature of a plurality of web selection

Page 9

tools and plurality of web templates being updated regularly by an operator of the server

application to incorporate one or more feature aspects linked to the sales generation

process.

(iv) Pugliese clearly shows that the web sites created by each merchant is unique to

that merchant in paragraph 130 where a web page for each merchant being hosted by

ShopLive is shown.

Claim 1(d)

Contrary to applicant's assertion, Pugliese shows creating customized sales tools being

one or more sales generation related documents or one or more sales generation

related communications by means of a sales tool facility provided by the server

application, whereby the sales tools are customizable to promote sales based on a

plurality of sales tool templates provided by the sales tool facility in paragraph 405

where a merchant is able to provide customized advertising using templates in various

formats such as video and text messaging.

Claim 1(e)

Paragraphs 403 and 405 show communicating the sales tool to one or more selected

customers where advertisements (sales tool) shown to shoppers is considered to be

communicating to shoppers.

Art Unit: 3629

Arguments regarding claim 1(c) are moot since a new prior art (Brown) is being applied to address the limitations.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VERN CUMARASEGARAN whose telephone number is (571)270-3273. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,115 Page 11

Art Unit: 3629

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 571-272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Vern Cumarasegaran/ Examiner, Art Unit 3629

/JOHN G. WEISS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629